

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/796,705	MEREDITH, SCOTT
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MESEKER TAKELE	2175

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MESEKER TAKELE (EXAMINER). (3) CHRIS HOLT (ATTORNEY).
 (2) WILLIAM L. BASHORE (SPE). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: on/about 6/18/09.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moore and Linyard.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant gives permission for amendment of the claims 1, 16, 19 and 22-34 as per Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Meseke Takele/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175	/William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175
--	--